

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,814	03/16/2000	Michael B. Ball	2987.2US(96-790.1	1584	
75	11/28/2003		EXAMINER		
Joseph A Wall	kowski	HA, NATHAN W			
Trask Britt & R	ossa				
PO BOX 2550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Salt lake City, UT 84110			2814		

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
				_			
Office Action Summary	09/526,814		BALL, MICHAEL B.				
once Action Cummary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Nathan W. H		2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 September 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau. See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the content of the first sentence of the content of the content of the first sentence of the content of the first sentence of the content o	s have been restance to the certified or cer	received. received in Applications have been received in Applications in the second in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification.	on No d in this National d.) (to a provisiona in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)		 .					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	4) 5) 6)	, , , ,	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 15-17, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Malhi et al. (US 5,088,190, newly cited, hereinafter Malhi.)

In regard to claims 1, 15, and 17, in figs. 2-3, Malhi discloses a semiconductor die comprising:

a sheet-like, nonconductive structure 30 having a first surface, and a second surface for attachment to the semiconductor die 11; and

a plurality of electrical conductive discrete pads 32 attached to the first surface, the plurality of electrically conductive discrete pads each having an electrical connection portion 32 and an electrical isolated 31 comprising a portion facing the first surface and a periphery 24 defined thereabout.

Malhi further discloses an adapter on the side with plurality of contacts 626, see fig. 6.

In regard to claims 2-3, see fig. 2.

In regard to claim 4, see fig. 3, the sheet 31.

Art Unit: 2814

In regard to claims 16, see fig. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malhi et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Orcutt (US 4,712,129, previously cited.)

In regard to claim 18, Malhi does not expressly discuss materials having matching TCE between the die and the layer that the die attaches to. It is noted that the thermal matching it very well known in the art of semiconductor. This matching helps to prevent the cracking might happen under high temperature, see the abstract. For example, Orcutt discloses that the texture and the die have similar TCE in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the matching TCE of Orcutt's in Malhi's in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. For instance, Applicants submit that the connection circuit 32 as taught by Malhi can not be construed as a plurality of electrically conductive discrete pads. This is not convincing. Malhi, in col. 4, lines 23-24, states "connection leads 33 of connection circuit 32" and further teaches "connection circuit 32 can be replace conductive solder bumps", col. 5, lines 25-27. Therefore, connections 32 are devices designed to make electrical connections, typically pads. Applicants further contend that Malhi does not include an electrical isolated portion. The isolated portion is on one of the surfaces of the connection pad 20, layer 31, compliant layer; see also col. 6, lines 1-15.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/526,814

Art Unit: 2814

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Ha whose telephone number is (703) 305-3507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-7:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and 308-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Nathan Ha November 17, 2003

SU∴